

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X		
IN RE	:	<b>MASTER FILE NO.</b>
	:	<b>CV-96-5238</b>
VISA CHECK/MASTERMONEY ANTITRUST	:	<b>(Gleeson, J.) (Mann, M.J.)</b>
LITIGATION	:	
-----X		
This Document Relates To	:	
All Actions:	:	
	:	
-----X		


**NOTICE OF MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF VISA SETTLEMENT AND  
ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, upon the Declaration of Eric D. Green dated September 11, 2003; the Declaration of Franklin M. Fisher, dated September 16, 2003 and the exhibits attached thereto; the Declaration of Neil L. Zola, dated September 17, 2003 and the exhibits attached thereto; the Declaration of Lloyd Constantine, dated September 17, 2003; the Declaration of Mitchell C. Shapiro, dated September 17, 2003 and the exhibits attached thereto; and the Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Visa Settlement and MasterCard Settlement and the Appendix to Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Visa Settlement and MasterCard Settlement -- all submitted with this Notice of Motion, and the previously-filed settlement agreement dated June 5, 2003 executed by Lead Counsel and defendant Visa U.S.A., Inc. ("Visa Settlement Agreement"); Declaration of John C. Coffee, Jr., dated August 17, 2003 and the exhibits attached thereto; Declaration of Arthur R. Miller, dated August 18, 2003; Declaration of Harry First, dated August 13, 2003; Declarations of Franklin M. Fisher, dated August 14, 2003 and the exhibits attached thereto; and Declaration of Lloyd Constantine, dated August 17, 2003 and the exhibits

attached thereto, Class Counsel moves this Court for an Order granting final approval of the Visa Settlement Agreement, for entry of judgment in the attached form previously agreed upon by Lead Counsel and Visa and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. If oral argument concerning this petition is desired by the Court, it will take place before the Honorable John Gleeson, United States District Judge, United States Courthouse, 225 Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn, New York on September 25, 2003 at 9:30 a.m., pursuant to Judge Gleeson's June 13, 2003 Order.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 18, 2003

**CONSTANTINE & PARTNERS**

By: 

Robert L. Begleiter (RB-7052)  
Matthew L. Cantor (MC-8183)  
Lloyd Constantine (LC-8465)  
Stacey Anne Mahoney (SM-5425)  
Michelle A. Peters (MP-7804)  
Amy N. Roth (AR-4534)  
Gordon Schnell (GS-2567)  
Jonathan Shaman (JS-8481)  
Mitchell C. Shapiro (MS-1019)  
Jeffrey I. Shinder (JS-5719)  
Michael Spyropoulos (MS-9873)

477 Madison Avenue - 11th Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 350-2700

*Attorneys for Wal-Mart, The Limited, Sears,  
Safeway, Circuit City, IMRA, NRF and FMI  
and Lead Counsel for The Plaintiffs*

**HAGENS BERMAN LLP**

Steve W. Berman

George W. Sampson (GS-8973)

Jim Solimano

1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2929

Seattle, Washington 98101

(206) 623-7292

*Attorneys for Bernie's Army-Navy Store and  
Co- Lead Counsel for The Plaintiffs*

**TO:**

Keila Ravelo, Esq.

Clifford Chance

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166

*Attorneys for Defendant MasterCard International, Inc.*

Joseph F. Tringali, Esq.

Simpson Thacher & Bartlett

425 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

*Attorneys for Defendant MasterCard International, Inc.*

Brian Brosnahan, Esq.

Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe

333 Bush Street

Suite 3100

San Francisco, California 94104-2878

*Attorneys for Defendant Visa USA, Inc.*

Robert C. Mason, Esq.

Arnold & Porter

399 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022-4690

*Attorneys for Defendant Visa USA, Inc.*

John W. Rasmussen, Esq.

Johnson, Rasmussen, Robinson & Allen, P.L.C.

48 N. Macdonald

Mesa, Arizona 85201

*Attorneys for Objectors Armenta's Mexican Food, Inc. and Lupitas Llamas Martinez d/b/a Del  
Yaqui Restaurant*

Nicholas M. Fausto, Esq.  
220 North Centre Street  
Merchantville, New Jersey 08109  
*Attorney for Objector Beaches N Cream*

Lawrence W. Schonbrun, Esq.  
Law Offices of Lawrence W. Schonbrun  
86 Eucalyptus Road  
Berkeley, CA 94705  
*Attorney for Objector Roman Buholzer, a/k/a The Continental Garden*  
J. Scott Kessinger, Esq.  
7304 Michigan Avenue  
St. Louis, Missouri 63111  
*Attorney for Objector Kickers Corner of the Americas, Inc.*

Douglas A. Cole, Esq.  
Stem & Cole  
571 Milford-Warren Glen Road  
Milford, New Jersey 08848  
*Attorneys for Objector Thomas McMackin*

Stanley M. Grossman, Esq.  
Pomerantz Haudek Block Grossman & Gross LLP  
100 Park Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017-5516  
*Attorneys for Objector NuCity Publications, Inc.*

William Kenneth C. Dippel, Esq.  
Dippel & Davis, PLLC  
12201 Merit Drive, Suite 230  
Dallas, Texas 75251  
*Attorneys for Objector Preston Center Personal Training, Inc.*

Steve Helfand, Esq.  
Helfand Law Offices  
225 Bush Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94104  
*Attorney for Objectors Rent Tech, Inc. and Rental Solutions, Inc.*

Matthew Flamm, Esq.  
26 Court Street, Suite 600  
Brooklyn, New York 11242  
*Attorney for Objectors Reyn's Pasta Bella, LLC, Jeffrey Ledon Deweese, M.D., Barry Leonard  
d/b/a Critter Fritters, and Hat-in-in-the-ring, Inc. d/b/a Eddie Rickenbacker's*

John Pentz, Esq.

Class Action Fairness Group  
736 Boston Post Road/3  
Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776  
*Attorney for Objectors Round House, Inc. d/b/a Smuggler's Cove, Ron Fred, Inc. d/b/a Bailey's,  
and Ron Jen, Inc. d/b/a The Boathouse*

John F. Duane, Esq.  
99 Park Avenue, Suite 800  
New York, New York 10016  
*Attorney for Objectors 710 Corp. and Leonardo's Pizza By The Slice*

R. Stephen Griffis, Esq.  
Law Office of R. Stephen Griffis, P.C.  
2142 Highland Avenue South  
Birmingham, Alabama 35205  
*Attorney for Objectors Sound Deals, Inc., and Digital Playroom, Inc.*

Kearney Dee Hutsler, Esq.  
Kearney Dee Hutsler P.C.  
2142 Highland Avenue South  
Birmingham, Alabama 35205  
*Attorney for Objectors Southern Network Services, Inc., and Duke Products, Inc.*

Charles M. Thompson, Esq.  
2142 Highland Avenue South  
Birmingham, Alabama 35205-4002  
*Attorney for Objector Village Fabrics and Furnishings, Inc.*

Robert W. Bishop, Esq.  
Bishop & Associates, PSC  
6520 Glenridge Park Suite 6  
Louisville, Kentucky 40222  
*Attorneys for Objectors Young Pioneers, Inc. d/b/a Bazzi 13 and Hoover Mobil, Mobil Town  
USA, Inc., Y.P.I., Inc., John Wenturine, Jac Vaca, Inc. f/k/a X-Cel Unlimited, S&GJ Enterprises,  
Inc., NSG Enterprises Inc., and G & G Enterprises*

Paula G. McMillin  
Southern Lady Flowers  
1325 Pine Street Ste. 1  
Abilene, Texas 79601  
*Objector*

Morris Villia  
MSV Records & Production Inc.  
P.O. Box 4273  
New Orleans, LA 70178

*Objector*

Jack M. Weiss, Esq.  
Gibson Dunn & Crutcher  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10166  
*Attorneys for Intervener Dow Jones, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x

IN RE VISA CHECK/MASTERMONEY  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

96-CV-5238 (JG)(JM)

----- x

**PROPOSED ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT**

On September 25, 2003, this Court held a hearing on (i) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement entered into as of June 4, 2003 between Visa U.S.A. Inc. ("Visa") and Plaintiffs (the "Settling Parties"), attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"), are fair, reasonable and adequate for the settlement of all claims released by Plaintiffs against Visa in the above-captioned action (the "Action" or "Class Action"); (ii) whether judgment should be entered dismissing Visa from the Action with prejudice; (iii) whether to approve the Plan of Allocation of Settlement Funds as a fair and reasonable method to allocate the settlement proceeds among the members of the Class, and (iv) whether and in what amount to award Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses.

The Court, having considered all matters submitted to it at the hearing and otherwise; and having certified, by order dated February 22, 2000, a Plaintiff Class (the "Class"), pursuant to Rules 23(a), 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of all persons and business entities who have accepted Visa and/or MasterCard credit cards and therefore have been required to accept Visa branded and/or MasterCard branded debit cards under the challenged tying arrangements at any time during the period of October 25, 1992 through the Class Period (ending on or around June 21, 2003); HEREBY FINDS, with all terms used herein having the meanings as set forth and defined in the Settlement Agreement, that:

a. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Parties, and has subject matter jurisdiction to approve the Settlement Agreement, including all exhibits thereto;

b. Notice to the Class required by Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including, but not limited to, the forms of notice and methods of identifying and notifying members of the Class, has been given in an adequate and sufficient manner, constituting the best notice practicable, satisfying and complying in all respects with such Rule, due process, and any other applicable law;

c. The Court has held a hearing to consider the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the Settlement;

d. The Court has been advised of all objections to the Settlement and has given consideration thereto;

e. Arm's length negotiations have taken place in good faith between counsel for Plaintiffs and Visa, resulting in the Settlement;

f. The Plan of Allocation of Settlement Funds is a fair and reasonable method to allocate the settlement proceeds among the members of the Class; and

g. The Settlement, including the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the exhibits thereto, is in all respects fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class.

ACCORDINGLY, the proposed Settlement is hereby fully and finally APPROVED pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In accordance with the Settlement Agreement, the terms of which are hereby incorporated by reference, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

1. The Settling Parties are directed to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and conditions, including, but not limited to, those items specifically identified below;

2. Visa is dismissed with prejudice from the Class Action;

3. Visa is directed to pay Plaintiffs, in settlement of the claims released by the Settlement Agreement, a total of two billion and twenty-five million dollars (\$2,025,000,000), to be made by wire transfer into the Settlement Fund Account under the schedule set forth in paragraph 3 of the Settlement Agreement;

4. Visa is directed to adopt rules that will, effective January 1, 2004, unbundle, and Visa agrees not bundle in the future, merchant acceptance of Visa POS Debit Devices and merchant acceptance of any Other Visa Products as set forth in paragraph 4 of the Settlement Agreement;

5. Visa is directed to adopt rules, effective January 1, 2004, requiring issuers in the United States to clearly and conspicuously identify all Visa POS Debit Devices in the manner set forth in paragraph 5 of the Settlement Agreement;

6. Visa is directed to adopt rules, effective January 1, 2004, requiring that Visa POS Debit Devices be given unique electronic identities in the manner set forth in paragraph 7 of the Settlement Agreement;

7. By August 1, 2003, and continuing through December 31, 2003, Visa is directed to reduce by at least \$0.14 for supermarket debit transactions at Class Members, and by at least 48 basis points, on an aggregate effective basis, for all other types and categories of Visa POS

Debit Device transactions at Class Members, the published interchange rates in effect on April 30, 2003 for these transactions as set forth in paragraph 8 of the Settlement Agreement;

8. Visa is directed not to enact any rules that prohibit merchants from encouraging or steering Visa POS Debit Device cardholders to use other forms of payment as set forth in paragraph 9 of the Settlement Agreement;

9. Visa is prohibited until June 4, 2005 from entering into any agreements that prohibit financial institution members from issuing debit cards of competing networks, other than MasterCard as set forth in paragraph 10 of the Settlement Agreement;

10. Visa is directed to comply with all of its other obligations under the Settlement Agreement;

11. Plaintiffs are directed to comply with all of their obligations under the Settlement Agreement;

12. Visa and its past, present or future officers, directors, stockholders, member financial institutions, agents, employees, legal representatives, trustees, parents, associates, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, partners, heirs, executors, administrators, purchasers, predecessors, successors and assigns (the "Released Parties") shall be released and forever discharged from all manner of claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action against the Released Parties, whether class, individual, or otherwise in nature, damages whenever incurred, liabilities of any nature whatsoever, including costs, expenses, penalties and attorneys' fees, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, in law or equity, that any Plaintiff or Class Members who have not timely excluded themselves from the Class Action (including any of their past, present or future officers, directors, stockholders, agents, employees, legal representatives,

trustees, parents, associates, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, partners, heirs, executors, administrators, purchasers, predecessors, successors and assigns), whether or not they object to the Settlement and whether or not they make a claim upon or participate in the Settlement Fund, whether directly, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, ever had, now has or hereafter can, shall or may have, relating in any way to any conduct prior to January 1, 2004 concerning any claims alleged in the Class Action Complaint or any of the complaints consolidated therein, including, without limitation, claims which have been asserted or could have been asserted in this litigation which arise under or relate to any federal or state antitrust, unfair competition, unfair practices, or other law or regulation, or common law, including, without limitation, the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C § 1 et seq. ("Released Claims"). Each Class Member hereby covenants and agrees that it shall not, hereafter, seek to establish liability against any of the Released Parties based, in whole or in part, upon any of the Released Claims.

13. For a period of five years, the Clerk of the Court shall maintain the record of those members of the Class who have timely excluded themselves from the Class and shall provide a certified copy of such records to Visa, at its expense;

14. Nothing in this Order and Final Judgment, the Settlement, or the Settlement Agreement is or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission or evidence of any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing by Visa or of the truth or validity or lack of truth or lack of validity of any of the claims or allegations alleged in the Class Action or actions consolidated therein.

15. Plaintiffs and their counsel are hereby awarded \_\_\_ in attorneys' fees, which the Court finds to be fair and reasonable, and \$ \_\_\_ in reimbursement of costs and expenses, together

with interest thereon from the date of entry of this Final Order and Judgment to the date of payment at the rate earned by the Settlement Fund during such period. These amounts shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund Account in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Constantine & Partners shall allocate and distribute the award of attorneys' fees in a fashion which, in its opinion, fairly compensates Plaintiffs' Counsel for their respective contributions in the prosecution and settlement of the Action.

16. Without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court retains jurisdiction of this Settlement and the Settlement Agreement, including the administration and consummation of the Settlement and in order to determine issues relating to any distribution to Class Members, and to attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred subsequent to entry of this judgment, which Plaintiffs' Counsel may seek to recover from the Settlement Fund. In addition, without affecting the finality of this judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction, and Visa and each member of the Class are hereby deemed to have submitted irrevocably to the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court for any suit, action, proceeding or dispute arising out of or relating to this Order and Final Judgment, the Settlement Agreement or the applicability of the Settlement Agreement and exhibits thereto. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, any dispute concerning the provisions of paragraph 12, including but not limited to any suit, action or proceeding by a Plaintiff in which the provisions of paragraph 12 are asserted as a defense in whole or in part to any claim or cause of action or otherwise raised as an objection, shall constitute a suit, action or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Order and Final Judgment. Solely for purposes of such suit, action or proceeding, to the fullest extent possible under applicable law, the parties hereto are hereby deemed to have irrevocably waived and agreed not to assert, by way of motion,

as a defense or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, or that this Court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum.

17. In the event that the provisions of this Settlement Agreement are asserted by Visa as a defense in whole or in part to any claim or cause of action or otherwise raised as an objection in any other suit, action or proceeding by a Plaintiff, Visa shall be entitled to a stay of that suit, action or proceeding until the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York has entered an order or judgment determining any issues relating to the defense or objection based on such provisions. Solely for purposes of such suit, action or proceeding, to the fullest extent they may effectively do so under applicable law, the Settling Parties irrevocably waive and agree not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of such court, or that such court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum.

18. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order and Final Judgment, and directs the Clerk to immediately enter this Order and Final Judgment.

---

Honorable John Gleeson  
United States District Judge

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2003